UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,866	11/21/2003	David Paul Limont	MS#303717.01 (5221)	3063
38779 7590 09/05/2008 SENNIGER POWERS LLP (MSFT) 100 NORTH BROADWAY 17TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			CHEEMA, UMAR	
ST. LOUIS, MO	O 63102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2144	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Latera in Communication	10/719,866 LIMONT ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	UMAR CHEEMA	2144			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Umar Cheema</u> .	(3) <u>Robert M. Bain</u> .				
(2) <u>Peling A Shaw</u> .	(4) <u>Barbara Wilkey</u> .				
Date of Interview: 25 August 2008.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,11 and 23</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Reed et al (US 2002/0095454).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: General overview of the interview discussed the differences between the claim investigated was advised by the Examiner. The Office invites Apply of this case. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CASTATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE S	ention as claimed was discretation and what is taught in Repolicant to further communication ments which the examiner agropy of the amendments that where the second ments will be considered by the constant of the constant	ussed with Applia eed. Further con on regarding the reed would render ould render the ESUBSTANCE C been filed, APP ODAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	cant. sideration of prosecution er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO		
	/William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2144			

Application No.

Applicant(s)